Tennessee Higher Education Commission 2020-25 Quality Assurance Funding Summary of Points



The Quality Assurance Funding program seeks to incentivize meritorious performance, provide a means for assisting the process of student learning and encourage continuous improvement at public community colleges and universities. The 2020-25 Quality Assurance Funding cycle standards reflect current state priorities outlined in the 2015-25 Master Plan, guided by the Drive to 55, and continue to challenge institutions to promote the highest standards and strive for excellence.

Motlow State Community College

Quality Assurance Funding Standard	Maximum Points	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25
1. General Education Assessment	10	10				
2. Major Field Assessment	15	15				
3. Academic Programs	25	23				
Specialty Accreditation	15	15				
Program Evaluation	10	8				
4. Institutional Satisfaction	10	10				
5. Student Equity	10	9				
Quantitative	6	5				
Qualitative	4	4				
6. Job Placement	10	6				
7. Student Access and Success	20	20				
Total	100	93	_			

Standard 1: General Education Assessment

The General Education standard is designed to provide incentives to institutions for improvements in the quality of undergraduate general education programs as measured by the performance of graduates on an approved standardized test of general education.

Motlow State Community College

Assessment: ETS Proficiency Profile

Sampling Plan: All Graduates Tested

Total Eligible Graduates: 1,297Maximum Points: 10Total Graduates Tested: 758Points Earned: 10

Percent Graduates Tested: 58% Graduates in Score Report: 741

Year 1: 2020-21

THEC

Year	Institutional Mean	National Mean	Difference	Percent Attained	Points
2020-21	436.54	435.9	0.64	100%	10
2021-22					
2022-23					
2023-24					
2024-25					

Institutional Comments

As a result of the global pandemic and to ensure student safety, MSCC waived the ETS requirement of graduates for the summer and fall 2020 semesters, contributing to the lower percentage of graduates tested for the academic year.

Standard 2: Major Field Assessment

The Major Field Assessment standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of major field programs as evaluated by the performance of graduates on approved examinations.

Motlow State Community College



Maximum Points: 15
Points Earned: 15

Year 1: 2020-21

	Licensure Programs Reported Annually											
	2020 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Year	Test	% Grads	Grads	Grads Tested	Grads Passed	Comp Pass Rate	Inst Pass Rate	Percent Attained
2	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	AAS	2020	NCLEX	98%	61	60	52	86.6%	86.7%	100%

Programs Reported Once During 2020-25 Cycle												
	2020 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Year	Test	% Grads	Grads	Grads Tested		Comp Score	Inst Score	Percent Attained
1	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	AAS	2020	SIEMENS	83%	42	35		5147.0	4967.0	96.5%
2	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	AAS	2021-22	Praxis	#DIV/0!	0	0		0.0		
3	06.11.0103.00	BUSINESS	AAS	2022-23	local	#DIV/0!	0	0		0.0		
4	31.51.1004.00	MEDICAL LAB TECHNOLOGY	AAS	2023-24	ASCP	#DIV/0!	0	0		0.0		
5	32.52.0701.00	ENTREPRENEURSHIP (Jan 2020)	AAS	TBD	local	#DIV/0!	0	0		0.0		
	Average institut	tion pass rate/score to comparison p	ass rate	/score								98.30%

	Programs Exempt from 2020-25 Cycle									
	2020 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Exemption						
1	12.19.0706.00	COMPUTER INFORMATION TECH	AAS	Exempt, low producing						
2	16.24.0101.01	UNIVERSITY PARALLEL	AA/AS	Exempt, multidisciplinary						
3	21.30.0000.00	FINE ARTS	AAS	Exempt, low producing						
4	30.50.0903.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	AAS	Exempt, low producing						
5	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	AAS	Exempt, low producing						

Participation Rate, Non Licensure							
Year 1							
Year 2							
Year 3							
Year 4							
Year 5							

Standard 3: Academic Programs, Accreditation

This Academic Program standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence through external evaluation. A program is agency which accredits programs for that field and degree level.

State Community College



Total Accreditable Programs:7Maximum Points:Accredited Programs:6Points Earned:Program of Concern0

Programs Seeking Accreditation 1

Percent Accredited: 100.0%

I					Accr	edited Program	S		
	2020 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	Accrediting Agency	Accreditation Cycle Begin	Accreditation Cycle End	Next Site Visit	Accreditation Letter Date	Status
1	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS	2.3 AAS	ACBSP	11/19/2019	11/19/2029	9/1/2029	12/9/2019	Accredited
2	32.52.0701.00	ENTREPRENEURSHIP	2.3 AAS	ACBSP					Seeking
3	31.51.3801.00	NURSING	2.3 AAS	ACEN	7/15/2015	7/31/2023	5/1/2023	7/15/2015	Accredited
4	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	ATMAE	11/6/2019	11/30/2025	11/1/2025	11/26/2019	Accredited
5	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	2.3 AAS	CAAHEP	1/11/2018	1/31/2023	1/1/2023	1/11/2018	Accredited
6		MEDICAL LABORATORY							
	31.51.1004.00	TECHNOLOGY	2.3 AAS	NAACLS	4/23/2020	4/30/0225	8/1/2024	5/29/2020	Accredited
7	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.3 AAS	NAEYC	3/1/2015	3/31/2022	1/1/2022	3/18/2015	Accredited

Embedded Programs*								
2020 CIP	Embedded Program Name	Level	Assoc CIP	Associate Degree Program				
09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY	2.1 C1	09.15.0403.00	MECHATRONICS TECHNOLOGY				
12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.1 C1	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION				
12.19.0706.01	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	2.2 C1	12.19.0706.00	EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION				
31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC	2.2 C1	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC				
31.51.0904.02	EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN	2.1 C1	31.51.0904.00	PARAMEDIC				
32.52.0201.02	CUSTOMER SERVICE	2.1 C1	32.52.0201.01	BUSINESS				
32.52.0203.00	SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT	2.1 C1	35.52.0201.01	BUSINESS				

^{**}Embedded Programs are technical certificates whose curriculum, content and requirements are contained within the greater requirements of a related associate degree program. The related degree assurance.

Standard 3: Academic Programs, Program Evaluation

This Academic Program standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to achieve and maintain program excellence through external evaluation. A program is defined as nonaccreditable if there is no recognized national agency which accredits programs for that field and degree level.

Motlow State Community College



Points Possible: 10
Points Earned: 8

Year 1: 2020-21

					Under	graduate Pr	ograms							
	2020 CIP	Academic Program	Degree	2015-20 Eval Type	2015-20 Eval Avg	2020-25 Eval Type	2020-25 Year	Total Standards	"NA" Standards	Rating of 0	Rating of 1	Rating of 2	Rating of 3	Average*
1	08.13.0101.00	TEACHING	AST	AA	2.10	AA	2020-21	22	0	0	4	1	17	2.59
2		ADVANCED EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN	C1	PR	2.50	AA	2021-22							
3	30.50.0903.00	FINE ARTS	AFA			AA	2022-23							
4	16.24.0101.01	UNIVERSITY PARALLEL	AA/AS	AA	3.00	AA	2023-24							
5		COMPUTER INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	AAS	AA	2.10	AA	2024-25							
	Undergraduat	e Programs Total						22	0	0	4	1	17	2.59

Academic Audit (AA) Rubric

Not Evident 0 Points
Emerging 1 Point
Established 2 Points
Highly Developed 3 Points

Academic Audit Standards

Level	Initial	Subsequen
Undergraduate	20	22

Program Review (PR) Rubric

Poor0 PointsFair1 PointGood2 PointsExcellent3 Points

Program Review Standards

Level	Standards
Certificate and Associate	25

^{*}Average calculated by multiplying the count of standards with a Rating of 0, 1, 2 and 3 by the number of points attributed to each rating divided by the total number of applicable standards.

Standard 4: Institutional Satisfaction

This Institutional Satisfaction Standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to improve the quality of undergraduate programs as e surveys of students at different points in their academic career.

Motlow State Community College



Schedule Maximum Points: Schedule Points Earned:

- **Year 1: Community College Survey of Student Engagement**
- Year 2: Survey of Entering Student Engagement (SENSE) & Qualitative Report
- Year 3: Community College Survey of Student Engagement
- Year 4: Alumni Survey or SENSE
- Year 5: Comprehensive Satisfaction Report

Year 1:

CCSSE Survey Items a. Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions b. Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before bearing it in b. Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or bearing formation from various sources b. Worked with other students on projects during class b. Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) beart of a regular course b. Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor b. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor b. Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Theme Active and Collaborative Learning Student Effort Student Effort Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	2.97 2.71 3.01 1.8 1.34	2.92 2.48 2.85 2.19	0.22 -0.39
a. Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions c. Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before arning it in d. Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or formation from various sources f. Worked with other students on projects during class . Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) s part of a regular course . Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor c. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor . Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Active and Collaborative Learning Student Effort Student Effort Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	2.97 2.71 3.01 1.8 1.34	2.92 2.48 2.85 2.19	0.22
c. Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before arning it in d. Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or formation from various sources 6. Worked with other students on projects during class 7. Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) is part of a regular course 8. Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor or advisor	Student Effort Student Effort Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	2.71 3.01 1.8 1.34	2.48 2.85 2.19	
d. Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or formation from various sources E. Worked with other students on projects during class Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) Expart of a regular course Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor E. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Student Effort Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	3.01 1.8 1.34	2.85 2.19	
d. Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or formation from various sources T. Worked with other students on projects during class Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) is part of a regular course Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Student Effort Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	3.01 1.8 1.34	2.85 2.19	
formation from various sources . Worked with other students on projects during class . Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) s part of a regular course . Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor c. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor . Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	1.8 1.34	2.19	-0.39
F. Worked with other students on projects during class Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) part of a regular course Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Active and Collaborative Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	1.8 1.34	2.19	-0.39
Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) part of a regular course Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor Used grades or assignments with an instructor Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Learning Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	1.34		-0.39
Participated in a community-based project (service-learning activity) part of a regular course Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor Used grades or assignments with an instructor Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Active and Collaborative Learning Student/Faculty	1.34		-0.39
s part of a regular course . Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor k. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor . Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Learning Student/Faculty		1 21	
. Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor c. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor . Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	Student/Faculty		1 1 21 1	
c. Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor . Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor	•			
. Talked about career plans with an instructor or advisor		3.35	3.19	
·	Student/Faculty	2.69	2.63	
	Student/Faculty	2.08	2.19	
m. Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with instructors				
utside of class	Student/Faculty	1.66	1.75	
n. Received prompt feedback (written or oral) from instructors on your				
	Student/Faculty	2.94	2.9	
structor's standards or expectations	Academic Challenge	2.89	2.7	0.21
o. Worked with instructors on activities other than coursework	Student/Faculty	1.35	1.45	
g. Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of	Active and Collaborative			
	Learning	2.47	2.46	
-				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
· · ·	readerine endirenge	2.5 1	2.55	
	Academic Challenge	2.9	2.96	
	A and and a Challenge	2.0	2.72	
	Academic Challenge	2.8	2./3	
	Acadomic Challongo	2.01	2 07	
	Academic Challenge	2	1.78	
·				
,				0.31
o. Providing the support you need to help you succeed at this college	Support for Learners	3.02	3.11	
c. Encouraging contact among students from different economic,				
ocial, and racial or ethnic backgrounds	Support for Learners	2.56	2.7	
d. Helping you cope with your non-academic responsibilities(work,				
mily, etc.)	Support for Learners	2.11	2.19	
. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education	Support for Learners	2.95	2.69	0.24
	Student Effort	2.53	2.27	0.21
		2.51		
	·			
	erformance D. Worked harder than you thought you could to meet an estructor's standards or expectations D. Worked with instructors on activities other than coursework Q. Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of ass (students, family members, co-workers, etc.) T. Had serious conversations with students who differ from you be analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory comming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information M. Making judgements about the value or soundness of information, reguments, or methods E. Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new tuations M. Using information you have read or heard to perform a new skill concept witten papers or reports of any length Mark the response that best represents the extent to which your examinations during the current academic year have challenged you to be your best work at this college D. Providing the support you need to help you succeed at this college D. Providing the support you need to help you succeed at this college C. Encouraging contact among students from different economic, pocial, and racial or ethnic backgrounds M. Helping you cope with your non-academic responsibilities (work, simily, etc.) M. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Da. Preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, rehearsing, doing somework, etc.) M. Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills M. Writing clearly and effectively M. Speaking clearly and effectively	o. Worked harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations p. Worked with instructors on activities other than coursework q. Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of ass (students, family members, co-workers, etc.) r. Had serious conversations with students who differ from you b. Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory c. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information d. Making judgements about the value or soundness of information, reguments, or methods e. Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new tuations f. Using information you have read or heard to perform a new skill c. Number of written papers or reports of any length d. Mark the response that best represents the extent to which your examinations during the current academic year have challenged you to o your best work at this college b. Providing the support you need to help you succeed at this college c. Encouraging contact among students from different economic, ocial, and racial or ethnic backgrounds d. Helping you cope with your non-academic responsibilities(work, amily, etc.) f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you need to afford your education Support for Learners f. Providing the financial support you feed to affor	o. Worked harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations astructor's standards or expectations as the structor's standards or expectations as the structor's standards or expectations as the structor's standards or expectations as the standards or classes with others outside of a Active and Collaborative Learning 2.47 In Had serious conversations with students who differ from you assays to the standards are standards as the standards are standar	or. Worked harder than you thought you could to meet an ostructor's standards or expectations activities other than coursework and power of the provided with instructors on activities other than coursework and power of the pow

Community College Survey of Student Engagement						
CCSSE Survey Items	Theme	Institution	Peer Group*	Effect Size**		
30 11d. Thinking critically and analytically	Overall Experience	3.13	3.07			
31 11e. Solving numerical problems	Overall Experience	2.73	2.65			
32 11f. Working effectively with others	Overall Experience	2.67	2.76			
33 12.2a. Academic advising/planning	Support for Learners	1.48	1.54			
34 12.2b. Career counseling	Support for Learners	1.42	1.43			
35 12.2c. Job placement assistance	Support for Learners	1.4	1.2			
36 12.2g. Financial aid advising	Support for Learners	1.61	1.51			
37 12.2j. Transfer advising/planning	Support for Learners	1.52	1.45			
36. How would you evaluate your overall educational experience at this						
college?	Overall Experience	3.31	3.28			
Total						

^{*}Peer group determined by the Center for Community College Student Engagement based on institutional enrollment size during the administration term.

Institution Peer Group Classification

Small Colleges (fewer than 4,499 students)
Medium Colleges (4,500-7,999 students)
Large Colleges (8,000-14,999 students)
Extra-Large Colleges (15,000 or more students)

^{**}Effect Size: Center for Community College Student Engagement considers a difference in mean to be significant when there is an effect size of -.20 or greater.

Standard 5: Student Equity

The Student Equity standard is designed to incentivize institutions to qualitatively and quantitatively improve outcomes for populations historically underserved in higher education in alignment with the Tennessee Higher Education Master Plan. The standard directs institutions to enhance the quality of student services and institutional support to increase equity in student outcomes.

Motlow State Community College



Maximum Points: 10
Points Earned: 9

Population: Low Income Students

Year 1: 2020-21

Freshman, Full-time, Fall to Fall Retention								
Year	AY 1	AY 2	AY 3	3 Yr Avg	3 Yr Avg Reporting AY		Points	
Voor 1	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	3 Yr Avg	2019-20	Percent	Points	
Year 1	63%	55%	58%	58%	55%	93.8%	5	
Year 2	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	3 Yr Avg	2020-21	Percent	Points	
rear z								
Year 3	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	3 Yr Avg	2021-22	Percent	Points	
Year 3								
Year 4	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	3 Yr Avg	2022-23	Percent	Points	
real 4								
Year 5	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	3 Yr Avg	2023-24	Percent	Points	
rear 3								

Self Assessment		
Institutions will submit a Self-Assessment that includes the current state of access and	Max Points	Points Earned
Comprehensive introduction to the campus environment for students of the target	1	1
Thorough analysis of baseline data of the target student population	1	1
In-depth analysis of qualitative measures	2	2
Total	4	4

Standard 6: TN Job Market Placement Rate

The Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement standard is designed to provide incentives for community colleges to continue to improve job placement of graduates.

Motlow State Community College



Maximum Points: 10 **Points Earned:** 6

> Year 1: 2020-21

Graduates	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
Total Graduates*	267				
Graduates Enrolled in 2-Year Institution	44				
Graduates Enrolled in 4-Year Institution	56				
Graduates Employed Part-time	8				
Grad	uates Engaged i	in Tennessee Job	Market		
Graduates with Unemployment Claim	12				
Graduates Employed Full-time	126				
Total Graduates Engaged in TN Job Market	138				
	•	-	•	<u>-</u>	
TN Job Market Graduate Placement Rate	91.3%				

^{*} Total Graduates equals the graduates for academic year, excluding University Parallel (16.24.0101) and Professional Studies (16.24.0102) degrees and certificates.

^{**}Tennessee Job Market Graduate Placement Rate is calculated by dividing the Graduates Employed Fulltime by the Graduates Engaged in the Tennessee Job Market.

Standard 7: Student Access and Success

The Student Access and Success standard is designed to provide incentives for institutions to increase the percentage or number of graduates from select focus populations.

Motlow State Community College



Maximum Points: Points Earned:

Year 1:

	Focus Population	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	3 Yr Avg	2019-20	Percent Attained
1	Academically Underprepared	16.5	19.2	19.4	18.4	19.2	104.6%
2	Geographic High Need Counties	23.4	26.4	27.9	25.9	28.0	108.2%
3	Males	18.1	23.3	23.9	21.8	24.8	113.9%
4	Students of Color (less Asian, Unknown, White)	13.6	15.6	19.8	16.3	21.1	129.4%